

Electronic Journal of Applied Mathematics

Research article

Mersin University Publishing DOI: 10.61383/ejam.20231233 2023, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1-17

Weak Pullback Mean Attractor for *p*-Laplacian Selkov Lattice Systems with Locally Lipschitz Delay Diffusion Terms

Yan Wang¹, Xiaolan Qin^{1,†}, Hailang Bai¹ and Yu Wang¹

1. School of Mathematics Science Guizhou Normal University Guiyang 550025, China

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the dynamics of a wide class of nonlinear, reversible, stochastic p-Laplacian Selkov delay lattice systems define on \mathbb{Z}^d driven by locally Lipschitz noise. We first establish the global well-posedness of the systems with local Lipschitz delay diffusion terms. Under certain conditions, we prove the existence and uniqueness of weak pullback mean random attractors for the mean random dynamical system associated with the stochastic equation in a product Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau; \ell^2 \times \ell^2) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau; L^2((-\rho, 0), \ell^2 \times \ell^2))$. The mean random dynamical systems theory proposed by Wang (J. Differ. Equ., 31:2177-2204, 2019) is used to deal with the difficulty caused by the nonlinear noise. The results of this paper are new even when the discrete p-Laplacian is replaced by the usual discrete Laplacian.

Key words: Nonlinear *p*-Laplacian; Weak pullback mean attractor; Selkov systems; Dalay time; Lipschitze noise **2020 Mathematics Subject Classification**: Primary: 35B41; Secondary: 35B41, 37L40, 37B55 **Article history**: Received 25 May 2023; Revised 6 Aug 2023; Accepted 6 Aug 2023; Online 9 Sep 2023

1 Introduction

For the past ten years, lattice dynamical systems have received much attention owing to their wide applications in the sciences and engineering (see, e.g., [1, 2] and the references therein). The asymptotic behavior of lattice systems has been discussed in [3, 4] for deterministic lattice systems, and in [5–7] for stochastic lattice systems. Recently, the existence of mean random attractors has been studied for stochastic lattice systems with nonlinear noises in [8–10].

In this article, we study the random attractors of the nonlinear, reversible, p-Laplacian stochastic lattice Selkov systems with time delay defined on \mathbb{Z}^d driven by locally Lipschitz

Contact: Yan Wang ☑ wangyanbetter@163.com; Xiaolan Qin ☑ qinxiaolan18@163.com; Hailang Bai ☑ baihailang0614@163.com; Yu Wang ☑ wangyu0872@163.com

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Mersin University Press. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

[†]Corresponding author

noise:

$$\begin{cases} du_{i}(t) = \left(-d_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left(|u_{i}(t) - u_{ij-1}(t)|^{p_{1}-2} (u_{i}(t) - u_{ij-1}(t)\right) - u_{ij+1}(t) - u_{i}(t)|^{p_{1}-2} (u_{ij+1}(t) - u_{i}(t))\right) \\ - a_{1}u_{i}(t) + b_{1}u_{i}^{2q}(t)v_{i}(t) - b_{2}u_{i}^{2q+1}(t) + f_{1i}(t)\right)dt \\ + \epsilon_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(h_{k,i}(t) + \widehat{\sigma}_{k,i}(u_{i}(t-\rho))\right)dW_{k}(t), \end{cases}$$

$$dv_{i}(t) = \left(-d_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left(|v_{i}(t) - v_{ij-1}(t)|^{p_{2}-2} (v_{i}(t) - v_{ij-1}(t)) - |v_{ij+1}(t) - v_{i}(t)|^{p_{2}-2} (v_{ij+1}(t) - v_{i}(t))\right) - a_{2}v_{i}(t) - b_{1}u_{i}^{2q}(t)v_{i}(t) + b_{2}u_{i}^{2q+1}(t) + f_{2i}(t)\right)dt \\ + \epsilon_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(h_{k,i}(t) + \widehat{\sigma}_{k,i}(v_{i}(t-\rho))\right)dW_{k}(t), \end{cases}$$

with initial conditions

$$u(\tau) = u_0, \ u(s) = \phi(s - \tau), \ v(\tau) = v_0, \ v(s) = \xi(s - \tau), \ s \in (\tau - \rho, \tau),$$
 (2)

where $t > \tau$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = (i_1, \dots, i_j, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $i^{j+1} := (i_1, \dots, i_j + 1, \dots, i_d)$, $i^{j-1} := (i_1, \dots, i_j - 1, \dots, i_d)$, $u = (u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$, $v = (v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \ell^2 := \{u = (u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} : \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |u_i|^2 < +\infty\}$, $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$, $p_1, p_2 \ge 2, q \ge 1$, $\rho \in [0, 1]$ is a time delay parameter, $d_1, d_2, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$ are positive constants, $f_1(t) = (f_{1i}(t))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d}, f_2(t) = (f_{2i}(t))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d}, h(t) = (h_{k,i}(t))_{k \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \ell^2$ are time independent random sequences, $(W_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of independent two-sided real-valued Wiener process which is defined on a complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{P})$, and $\widehat{\sigma}_{k,i} : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sequence locally Lipschitz continuous functions (uniformly in k), which satisfies, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist constants $\delta_{k,i} > 0$ and $\alpha_k > 0$ such that

$$|\widehat{\sigma}_{k,i}(s)| \le \delta_{k,i} + \alpha_k |s|,\tag{3}$$

where
$$\|\delta\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\delta_{k,i}|^2 < \infty$$
, $\|\alpha\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\alpha_k|^2 < \infty$.

where $\|\delta\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\delta_{k,i}|^2 < \infty$, $\|\alpha\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\alpha_k|^2 < \infty$. In this work, we study the stochastic lattice reversible Selkov system; that is, a widely influential and classic mathematical model of this feedback of ATP(Adenosine triphosphate) on PFK (Adenosine diphosphate), which has been widely investigated in [11–16]. The attractors of stochastic Selkov systems have been studied by many scholars. For example, for stochastic lattice reversible Selkov equations with additive noises, the existence of a random attractor was proved by Li in [17, 18]. For the Selkov equations on a bounded domain space with dimension n < 3, the existence of a global attractor for the solution semiflow was explored by You [19]. For non-autonomous stochastic reversible Selkov systems with multiplicative noise, the existence of random attractors and upper semi-continuous of an attractor as noise approaches zero have been established by Guo et al. [20]. According to the results in the existing literature, we find that the existence and uniqueness of weak pullback mean random attractors for nonlinear, reversible, p-Laplacian stochastic lattice Selkov equations (1)-(2) are not studied. So, in this paper, we will investigate the existence and uniqueness of weak pullback mean random attractors of the system (1)-(2) with the local Lipschitz noise and delay terms.

The theory of attractors is an effective way to study long time behavior and qualitative properties of dynamic systems. The concept of pathwise pullback random attractor was first Weak Pullback Mean Attractor Yan Wang et al.

3

proposed by Crauel, Flandoli, and Schmalfuss in [21, 22]. Then the pathwise pullback random attractors are widely discussed and studied by many authors, such as literature [23–51]. The classical theory of random dynamical systems is pathwise. However, when the stochastic equation is driven by additive or linear multiplicative noise, the pathwise random attractors can be explored by using the idea of a deterministic pathwise equation; that is, the stochastic equation can be transformed into a pathwise deterministic system. However, we don't know how to transform the stochastic equation into a pathwise deterministic equation when the noise is nonlinear, so we have no way to study the pathwise pullback random attractors of the system driven by nonlinear noise. Therefore, in order to overcome the difficulty caused by the Lipschitz nonlinear diffusion terms σ (see, e.g., [8, 36, 39]). In the present article, we apply the mean random dynamical systems theory proposed by Wang in reference [34] to investigate the weak pullback mean random attractors of (1)-(2).

However, the mean random dynamic systems theory is established on reflexive Banach spaces (see [34, 36]). We usually choose the Banach spaces as a phase space to study the dynamics of delayed systems, see [52–54]. Note that the Banach space $C([-\rho,0],\ell^2\times\ell^2)$ is not reflexive, and hence can not be used as a phase space to study mean random attractors of (1)-(2). In order to solve this problem, we choose the product Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_\tau;\ell^2\times\ell^2)\times L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_\tau;L^2((-\rho,0),\ell^2\times\ell^2))$ as a phase space to study the existence and uniqueness of the weak pullback mean random attractors for the stochastic nonlinear p-Laplacian Selkov system (1)-(2) with time delay on \mathbb{Z}^d driven by locally Lipschitz noise.

The lattice systems have many applications in physics, chemistry, biology, and other disciplines, such as propagation of nerve pulses, electric circuits, and image processing [11, 55–57]. In addition, lattice systems come from the spatial discretizations of partial differential equations [58]. Random attractors of lattice systems have been studied by many scholars, see, e.g., [59–64] for the pathwise pullback random attractors. [7, 34, 65–68] for the pullback mean random attractors.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, in order to prove the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of (1)-(2), we transform the system (1)-(2) with time delay into system (22)-(23) without time delay. In Section 3, we first define the concept of a mean random dynamical system in a product Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau; \ell^2 \times \ell^2) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau; L^2((-\rho, 0), \ell^2 \times \ell^2))$, then prove the existence and uniqueness of weak pullback mean random attractors of (1)-(2) under condition $(\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2) < \frac{\lambda}{2||\alpha||^2}$.

2 Existence and uniqueness of solutions

In this section, we prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions to (1)-(2).

Let $\ell^r := \{u = (u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} : \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |u_i|^r < +\infty \}$ for $r \ge 1$. The norm of ℓ^r is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_r$. The norm and inner product of ℓ^2 are written as $\|\cdot\|$ and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, respectively.

In this paper we will use the following inequalities many times:

$$|x^{r} - y^{r}| \le C_{r}|x - y||x^{r-1} + y^{r-1}|, \ \forall \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \ r \ge 1,$$
 (4)

$$\left| |x_1|^{r-2}x_1 - |x_2|^{r-2}x_2 \right| \le C_r(|x_1|^{r-2} + |x_2|^{r-2})|x_1 - x_2|, \ \forall \ x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \ r \ge 2,$$
 (5)

$$b_1b_2x^{2q+1}y - b_2^2x^{2q+2} - b_1^2x^{2q}y^2 + b_2b_1x^{2q+1}y \le 0, \ \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \ p \ge 1.$$
 (6)

Assume $a \ge 0$, $k_1, k_2 \ge 0$ are constants, if $k_1 > k_2$, there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\gamma - k_1 + k_2 e^{\gamma a} < 0. \tag{7}$$

We define four operators $B_j, B_j^*: \ell^2 \to \ell^2, F: \ell^2 \times \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ and $G: \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ by $(B_j u)_i = u_{ij+1} - u_i, (B_j^* u)_i = u_{ij-1} - u_i, F(u, v) = (u_i^{2q} v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ and $G(u) = (u_i^{2q+1})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ for $j \in [1, d] \cap \mathbb{N}$, $u = (u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \ell^2, v = (v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \ell^2$.

Then the discrete d-dimensional p-Laplace operator $A_p: \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ with p > 2 is defined by

$$(A_p u)_i = -\sum_{j=1}^d \left(|(B_j^* u)_i|^{p-2} \times (B_j^* u)_i + |(B_j u)_i|^{p-2} \times (B_j u)_i \right),$$

which indicates that

$$\langle A_p u, u \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^d \|B_j u\|_p^p \ge 0.$$
 (8)

By (5) and [69, 70], for $p \ge 2$, $u, v \in \ell^2$, we obtain

$$||A_{p}u - A_{p}v||^{2} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left(\left| (B_{j}^{*}v)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}^{*}v)_{i} - \left| (B_{j}^{*}u)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}^{*}u)_{i} \right. \\ + \left| (B_{j}v)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}v)_{i} - \left| (B_{j}u)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}u)_{i} \right. \\ \times \left(\left| (B_{j}^{*}v)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}^{*}v)_{i} - \left| (B_{j}^{*}u)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}^{*}u)_{i} \\ + \left| (B_{j}v)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}v)_{i} - \left| (B_{j}u)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}u)_{i} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left| \left| (B_{j}^{*}v)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}^{*}v)_{i} - \left| (B_{j}^{*}u)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}^{*}u)_{i} \right. \\ + \left| (B_{j}v)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}v)_{i} - \left| (B_{j}u)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}^{*}u)_{i} \right|^{2}$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left| \left| (B_{j}^{*}v)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}^{*}v)_{i} - \left| (B_{j}^{*}u)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}^{*}u)_{i} \right|^{2}$$

$$+ 2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left| \left| (B_{j}v)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}v)_{i} - \left| (B_{j}u)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}u)_{i} \right|^{2}$$

$$\leq 4 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left| \left| (B_{j}v)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}v)_{i} - \left| (B_{j}u)_{i} \right|^{p-2} \times (B_{j}u)_{i} \right|^{2}$$

$$\leq 8 C_{p}^{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left| \left| (B_{j}v)_{i} \right|^{2p-4} + \left| (B_{j}u)_{i} \right|^{2p-4} \right) \left| (B_{j}v)_{i} - (B_{j}u)_{i} \right|^{2}$$

$$\leq 2^{2p+1} dC_{p}^{2} (||u||^{2p-4} + ||v||^{2p-4}) ||u - v||^{2}.$$

$$(9)$$

By (4), Young's inequality and [16], we find that for any $q \ge 1$, $u, u_1, u_2, v, v_1, v_2 \in \ell^2$ and $||u|| \le n$, $||u_1|| \le n$, $||u_2|| \le n$, $||v|| \le n$, $||v_1|| \le n$, $||v_2|| \le n$,

$$||F(u_1, v_1) - F(u_2, v_2)||^2 \le 2d(1 + 4C_{2q}^2)(||u_1||_{4q}^{4q} + ||u_2||_{4q}^{4q} + ||v_2||_{4q}^{4q})(||u_1 - u_2||^2 + ||v_1 - v_2||^2),$$
(10)

and

$$||G(u) - G(v)|| \le 2dC_{2q+1}^2(||u||_{4q}^{4q} + ||v||_{4q}^{4q})||u - v||^2.$$
(11)

By (9)-(11), we infer that the operators A_pu , F(u,v), G(u) are locally Lipschitz continuous; that is, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, exists $c_1(n)$, $c_2(n)$, $c_3(n) > 0$, such that for any $u, v, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \ell^2$, $p \geq 2$, and $||u|| \leq n$, $||u_1|| \leq n$, $||u_2|| \leq n$, $||v_1|| \leq n$, $||v_2|| \leq n$,

$$||A_{p}u - A_{p}v||^{2} \le c_{1}(n)||u - v||^{2},$$

$$|\langle A_{p}u_{1} - A_{p}u_{2}, u_{1} - u_{2}\rangle| \le c_{1}(n)||u_{1} - u_{2}||^{2},$$

$$|\langle A_{p}u_{1} - A_{p}u_{2}, v_{1} - v_{2}\rangle| \le c_{1}(n)(||u_{1} - u_{2}||^{2} + ||v_{1} - v_{2}||^{2}),$$
(12)

$$||F(u_1, v_1) - F(u_2, v_2)||^2 \le c_2(n)(||u_1 - u_2||^2 + ||v_1 - v_2||^2),$$

$$|\langle F(u_1, v_1) - F(u_2, v_2), u_1 - u_2 \rangle| \le c_2(n)(||u_1 - u_2||^2 + ||v_1 - v_2||^2),$$

$$|\langle F(u_1, v_1) - F(u_2, v_2), v_1 - v_2 \rangle| \le c_2(n)(||u_1 - u_2||^2 + ||v_1 - v_2||^2),$$
(13)

and

$$||G(u) - G(v)||^{2} \le c_{3}(n)||u - v||^{2},$$

$$|\langle G(u_{1}) - G(u_{2}), u_{1} - u_{2} \rangle| \le c_{3}(n)||u_{1} - u_{2}||^{2},$$

$$|\langle G(u_{1}) - G(u_{2}), v_{1} - v_{2} \rangle| \le c_{3}(n)(||u_{1} - u_{2}||^{2} + ||v_{1} - v_{2}||^{2}).$$
(14)

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we write $\sigma_k(u) = (\widehat{\sigma}_{k,i}(u_i))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ for all $u \in \ell^2$. Then we find

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|\sigma_k(u)\|^2 \le 2\|\delta\|^2 + 2\|\alpha\|^2 \|u\|^2. \tag{15}$$

Note that $\sigma_k : \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ is also locally Lipschitz continuous; that is, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $c_4(n) > 0$, for every $u, v \in \ell^2$, $||u|| \le n$, $||v|| \le n$,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|\sigma_k(u) - \sigma_k(v)\|^2 \le c_4(n) \|u - v\|^2.$$
 (16)

In order to explore the existence and uniqueness of the solutions to the problem (1)-(2), we assume f_1 , f_2 , h_k are ℓ^2 -valued progressively measurable processes satisfy the following condition, for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and T > 0,

$$\int_{\tau}^{\tau+T} \mathbb{E}(\|f_1(t)\|^2 + \|f_2(t)\|^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|h_k(t)\|^2) dt < \infty.$$
 (17)

For convenience, we can rewrite system (1) as the following system in $\ell^2 \times \ell^2$ for $t \ge \tau \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{cases}
du(t) = \left(-d_{1}A_{p_{1}}u(t) - a_{1}u(t) + b_{1}u^{2q}(t)v(t) - b_{2}u^{2q+1}(t) + f_{1}(t)\right)dt \\
+ \epsilon_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(h_{k}(t) + \sigma_{k}(u(t-\rho))\right)dW_{k}(t), \\
dv(t) = \left(-d_{2}A_{p_{2}}v(t) - a_{2}v(t) - b_{1}u^{2q}(t)v(t) + b_{2}u^{2q+1}(t) + f_{2}(t)\right)dt \\
+ \epsilon_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(h_{k}(t) + \sigma_{k}(v(t-\rho))\right)dW_{k}(t),
\end{cases} (18)$$

with initial date

$$\psi(\tau) = \psi_0 = (u_0, v_0), \ \psi(s) = (u(s), v(s)) = \vartheta(s - \tau) = (\phi(s - \tau), \xi(s - \tau)), \ s \in (\tau - \rho, \tau),$$

where $\psi(t) = (u(t), v(t))^T, \vartheta(s - \tau) = (\phi(s - \tau), \xi(s - \tau))^T.$

Next, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solutions for the problem (18) in the following sense.

Definition 2.1. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, T > 0, $\psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau; \ell^2 \times \ell^2)$, $\vartheta \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau; L^2((-\rho, 0), \ell^2 \times \ell^2))$. A $\ell^2 \times \ell^2$ -valued stochastic process $\psi(t) = (u(t), v(t))^T$, $t > \tau - \rho$, is called a solution of system (18) if

- (i) $\psi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau; L^2((\tau \rho, \tau), \ell^2 \times \ell^2))$ and $u_\tau = \phi, v_\tau = \xi$.
- (ii) ψ is pathwise continuous on $[\tau, \infty)$, \mathcal{F}_{τ} -adapted for all $t \geq \tau$, $\psi(\tau) = \psi_0$, $\psi \in L^2(\Omega, C[\tau, \tau + T], \ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ for all T > 0.
- (iii) For system (1) in $\ell^2 \times \ell^2$,

$$\begin{cases} u(t) = u_{0} + \int_{\tau}^{t} \left(-d_{1}A_{p_{1}}u(s) - a_{1}u(s) + b_{1}u^{2q}(s)v(s) - b_{2}u^{2q+1}(s) + f_{1}(s) \right) ds \\ + \varepsilon_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{t} \left(h_{k}(s) + \sigma_{k}(u(s-\rho)) \right) dW_{k}(s), \end{cases} \\ v(t) = v_{0} + \int_{\tau}^{t} \left(-d_{2}A_{p_{2}}v(s) - a_{2}v(s) - b_{1}u^{2q}(s)v(s) + b_{2}u^{2q+1}(s) + f_{2}(s) \right) ds \\ + \varepsilon_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{t} \left(h_{k}(s) + \sigma_{k}(v(s-\rho)) \right) dW_{k}(s), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}. \end{cases}$$

$$(19)$$

Theorem 2.2. Assume (3) and (17) hold. Then for all $\psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau; \ell^2 \times \ell^2)$, $\vartheta \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau; L^2((-\rho, 0), \ell^2 \times \ell^2))$, system (18) has a unique solution ψ in the meaning of Definition 2.1,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau < s < \tau + T} \|\psi(s)\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2\right) \le K \quad \forall \ T > 0,$$

where

$$K = K_1 e^{K_1 T} \Big(T + \mathbb{E}(\|\psi_0\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2) + \int_{-\rho}^0 \mathbb{E}(\|\phi(s)\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 + \|\xi(s)\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2) ds$$
$$+ \int_{\tau}^{\tau + T} \mathbb{E}(\|f_1(s)\|^2 + \|f_2(s)\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h(s)\|^2) ds \Big),$$

and $K_1 > 0$ is a constant independent of ψ_0 , ϑ , ρ , τ and T.

Proof. We first prove the existence of solutions for (18) on $[\tau, \tau + \rho]$. By (15), BDG inequality we get for $\phi, \xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau; L^2((-\rho, 0), \ell^2 \times \ell^2))$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau \leq t \leq \tau + \rho} \left| \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \rho} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\sigma_{k}(u(t - \rho)) dW_{k}(t) \right| \right) \\
= \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau \leq t \leq \tau + \rho} \left| \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \rho} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\sigma_{k}(\phi(t - \rho - \tau)) dW_{k}(t) \right| \right) \\
\leq 3\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\int_{\tau}^{\tau + \rho} \|\sigma_{k}(\phi(t - \rho - \tau)\|^{2} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{9}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{\tau + \rho} \|\sigma_{k}(\phi(t - \rho - \tau)\|^{2} dt\right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} + 9\rho \|\delta\|^{2} + 9\|\alpha\|^{2} \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \rho} \mathbb{E}(\|\phi(t - \rho - \tau)\|^{2}) dt \\
= \frac{1}{2} + 9\rho \|\delta\|^{2} + 9\|\alpha\|^{2} \int_{-\rho}^{0} \mathbb{E}(\|\phi(t)\|^{2}) dt < \infty, \tag{20}$$

similarly, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau \leq t \leq \tau + \rho} \left| \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \rho} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\sigma_{k}(v(t - \rho)) dW_{k}(t) \right| \right) \\
= \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau \leq t \leq \tau + \rho} \left| \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \rho} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\sigma_{k}(\xi(t - \rho - \tau)) dW_{k}(t) \right| \right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} + 9\rho \|\delta\|^{2} + 9\|\alpha\|^{2} \int_{-\rho}^{0} \mathbb{E}(\|\xi(t)\|^{2}) dt < \infty. \tag{21}$$

By (20)-(21), problem (18) on $[\tau, \tau + \rho]$ is transformed into the following equations without delay:

$$\begin{cases}
du(t) = \left(-d_{1}A_{p_{1}}u(t) - a_{1}u(t) + b_{1}u^{2q}(t)v(t) - b_{2}u^{2q+1}(t) + f_{1}(t)\right)dt \\
+ \epsilon_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(h_{k}(t) + \sigma_{k}(\phi(t-\rho-\tau))\right)dW_{k}(t), \\
dv(t) = \left(-d_{2}A_{p_{2}}v(t) - a_{2}v(t) - b_{1}u^{2q}(t)v(t) + b_{2}u^{2q+1}(t) + f_{2}(t)\right)dt \\
+ \epsilon_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(h_{k}(t) + \sigma_{k}(\xi(t-\rho-\tau))\right)dW_{k}(t), \quad t \in (\tau, \tau + \rho],
\end{cases} \tag{22}$$

with initial conditions

$$u(\tau) = u_0, \ v(\tau) = v_0.$$
 (23)

Then by Theorem 1.1 in [16], by conditions (3) and (17), the system (22)-(23) has a unique solution ψ defined on $[\tau, \tau + \rho]$ such that $\psi \in L^2(\Omega, C([\tau, \tau + \rho], \ell^2 \times \ell^2))$. Repeating this discussion, we can extend the solution ψ to the interval $[\tau, \infty)$ such that $\psi \in L^2(\Omega, C([\tau, \tau + T], \ell^2 \times \ell^2))$ for all T > 0.

Next, we conduct uniform estimates of the solutions. Applying Ito's formula to (18), we get

$$b_{2}\|u(t)\|^{2} + \int_{\tau}^{t} \left[2d_{1}b_{2}\langle A_{p_{1}}u(s), u(s)\rangle + 2a_{1}b_{2}\|u(s)\|^{2} + 2b_{2}^{2}\langle G(u(s)), u(s)\rangle \right] ds$$

$$= b_{2}\|u(\tau)\|^{2} + 2b_{2}\int_{\tau}^{t} \langle f_{1}(s), u(s)\rangle ds + 2b_{1}b_{2}\int_{\tau}^{t} \langle F(u(s), v(s)), u(s)\rangle ds$$

$$+ b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{t} \|h_{k}(s) + \sigma_{k}(u(s-\rho))\|^{2} ds$$

$$+ 2b_{2}\epsilon_{1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{t} \langle h_{k}(s) + \sigma_{k}(u(s-\rho)), u(s)\rangle dW_{k}(s), \tag{24}$$

and

$$b_{1}\|v(t)\|^{2} + \int_{\tau}^{t} \left[2d_{2}b_{1}\langle A_{p_{2}}v(s), v(s)\rangle + 2a_{2}b_{1}\|v(s)\|^{2} + 2b_{1}^{2}\langle F(u(s), v(s)), v(s)\rangle \right] ds$$

$$= b_{1}\|v(\tau)\|^{2} + 2b_{1}\int_{\tau}^{t} \langle f_{2}(s), v(s)\rangle ds + 2b_{2}b_{1}\int_{\tau}^{t} \langle G(u(s)), v(s)\rangle ds$$

$$+ b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{t} \|h_{k}(s) + \sigma_{k}(v(s-\rho))\|^{2} ds$$

$$+ 2b_{1}\epsilon_{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{t} \langle h_{k}(s) + \sigma_{k}(v(s-\rho)), v(s)\rangle dW_{k}(s).$$
(25)

By (6) and (8), we know

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau\leq r\leq t}\left(b_{2}\|u(r)\|^{2}+b_{1}\|v(r)\|^{2}\right)\right)\leq \mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u(\tau)\|^{2}+b_{1}\|v(\tau)\|^{2})
+a_{1}^{-1}b_{2}\int_{\tau}^{t}\mathbb{E}(\|f_{1}(s)\|^{2})ds+a_{2}^{-1}b_{1}\int_{\tau}^{t}\mathbb{E}(\|f_{2}(s)\|^{2})ds
+b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\tau}^{t}\mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s)+\sigma_{k}(u(s-\rho))\|^{2})ds+b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\tau}^{t}\mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s)+\sigma_{k}(v(s-\rho))\|^{2})ds
+2b_{2}\epsilon_{1}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau\leq r\leq t}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\tau}^{r}\langle h_{k}(s)+\sigma_{k}(u(s-\rho)),u(s)\rangle dW_{k}(s)\right|\right)
+2b_{1}\epsilon_{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau\leq r\leq t}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\tau}^{r}\langle h_{k}(s)+\sigma_{k}(v(s-\rho)),v(s)\rangle dW_{k}(s)\right|\right).$$
(26)

For the terms I_1 and I_2 in (26), by the BDG inequality, we get

$$I_{1} \leq 6b_{2}\epsilon_{1}\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_{k}(s) + \sigma_{k}(u(s-\rho))\|^{2} \|u(s)\|^{2} dW_{k}(s) ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{3}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau \leq s \leq t} b_{2} \|u(s)\|^{2}\right) + 27b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left(\|h_{k}(s) + \sigma_{k}(u(s-\rho))\|^{2}\right) ds, \tag{27}$$

and

$$I_{2} \leq \frac{1}{3} \mathbb{E} \Big(\sup_{\tau \leq s \leq t} b_{1} \|v(s)\|^{2} \Big) + 27b_{1} \epsilon_{2}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{t} \mathbb{E} \Big(\|h_{k}(s) + \sigma_{k}(v(s-\rho))\|^{2} \Big) ds. \tag{28}$$

By (26)-(28) and (15), we know that

$$28b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\tau}^{t}\mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s) + \sigma_{k}(u(s-\rho))\|^{2})ds$$

$$\leq 112b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\delta\|^{2}(t-\tau) + 56b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\tau}^{t}\mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s)\|^{2})ds$$

$$+ 112b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2}\int_{-\rho}^{0}\mathbb{E}\|\phi(s)\|^{2}ds + 112b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2}\int_{\tau}^{t}\mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^{2})ds, \tag{29}$$

and

$$28b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{t} \mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s) + \sigma_{k}(v(s-\rho))\|^{2}) ds$$

$$\leq 112b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2} \|\delta\|^{2} (t-\tau) + 56b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{t} \mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s)\|^{2}) ds$$

$$+ 112b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2} \|\alpha\|^{2} \int_{-\rho}^{0} \mathbb{E}\|\xi(s)\|^{2} ds + 112b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2} \|\alpha\|^{2} \int_{\tau}^{t} \mathbb{E}(\|v(s)\|^{2}) ds. \tag{30}$$

From (26) to (30), we find that there exists a positive number C_1 independent of $u_0, v_0, \phi, \xi, T, \tau$

such that for all $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau \leq r \leq t} \left(b_{2} \|u(r)\|^{2} + b_{1} \|v(r)\|^{2}\right)\right)
\leq 3\mathbb{E}(b_{2} \|u_{0}\|^{2} + b_{1} \|v_{0}\|^{2}) + C_{1}\mathbb{E}\int_{-\rho}^{0} \left(\|\phi(s)\|^{2} + \|\xi(s)\|^{2}\right) ds
+ C_{1}\mathbb{E}\int_{\tau}^{\tau+T} \left(\|f_{1}(s)\|^{2} + \|f_{2}(s)\|^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h(s)\|^{2}\right) ds + C_{1}T
+ C_{1}\int_{\tau}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau \leq r \leq s} \left(b_{2} \|u(r)\|^{2} + b_{1} \|v(r)\|^{2}\right) ds\right)
\leq C_{2} + C_{1}\int_{\tau}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau < r < s} \left(b_{2} \|u(r)\|^{2} + b_{1} \|v(r)\|^{2}\right) ds\right).$$
(31)

where $C_2 > 0$ is a finite number from (17).

By (31) and Gronwall's lemma, we obtain, for all $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ with T > 0,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{\tau \le r \le t} \Big(b_2 \|u(r)\|^2 + b_1 \|v(r)\|^2\Big)\Big) \le C_2 e^{C_1 T},$$

which completes the proof.

Weak pullback mean random attractors

For any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, let $\Phi(t,\tau)$ be a mapping from $L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_\tau;\ell^2 \times \ell^2) \times L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_\tau;L^2((-\rho,0),\ell^2 \times \ell^2))$ ℓ^2) to $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t+\tau}; \ell^2 \times \ell^2) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t+\tau}; L^2((-\rho, 0), \ell^2 \times \ell^2))$ given by

$$\Phi(t,\tau)(\psi_0,\vartheta) = (\psi(t+\tau;\tau,\psi_0,\vartheta),\psi_{t+\tau}(\cdot;\tau,\psi_0,\vartheta)),$$

for any $(\psi_0, \vartheta) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau; \ell^2 \times \ell^2) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau; L^2((-\rho, 0), \ell^2 \times \ell^2))$, where $\psi(t; \tau, \psi_0, \vartheta) = 0$ $(u(t;\tau,u_0,\phi),$

 $v(t;\tau,v_0,\xi))^T$ is the solution of (18), and $\psi_{t+\tau}(\theta;\tau,\psi_0,\vartheta)=\psi(t+\tau+\theta;\tau,\psi_0,\vartheta)$ for $\theta\in(-\rho,0)$. Then Φ is a mean random dynamical system on $L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F};\ell^2\times\ell^2)\times L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F};L^2((-\rho,0),\ell^2\times\ell^2))$ ℓ^2) over the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$, see [34, Definition 2.9].

In this section, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of weak pullback mean random attractors of (18). For convenience, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$H_{\tau} = L^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\tau}; \ell^{2} \times \ell^{2}) \times L^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\tau}; L^{2}((-\rho, 0), \ell^{2} \times \ell^{2})).$$

And H_{τ} is a product Hilbert space with inner product and norm

$$\left\langle (\omega_1, \varsigma_1), (\omega_2, \varsigma_2) \right\rangle_{H_{\tau}} = \mathbb{E} \left\langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \right\rangle + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{-\rho}^{0} \left\langle \varsigma_1(s), \varsigma_2(s) \right\rangle ds \right), \ (\omega_1, \varsigma_1), (\omega_2, \varsigma_2) \in H_{\tau},$$

$$\|(\omega,\varsigma)\|_{H_{\tau}} = \left(\mathbb{E}(\|\omega\|_{\ell^2\times\ell^2}^2) + \int_{-\rho}^0 \mathbb{E}(\|\varsigma(s)\|_{\ell^2\times\ell^2}^2) ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ (\omega,\varsigma) \in H_{\tau}.$$

In addition, we suppose ϵ_1 , ϵ_2 in (1) are small enough such that

$$(\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2) < \frac{\lambda}{2\|\alpha\|^2}.\tag{32}$$

By (7) and (32), there exist constants μ , $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\eta + \mu - 2\lambda + 4\|\alpha\|^2 (\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2) e^{\mu\rho} < 0.$$
 (33)

Let $B = \{B(\tau) \subseteq H_{\tau} : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a family of nonempty bounded sets such that

$$\lim_{\tau \to -\infty} e^{\mu \tau} \|B(\tau)\|_{H_{\tau}}^2 = 0, \tag{34}$$

where $\|B(\tau)\|_{H_{\tau}} = \sup_{(\omega, \varsigma) \in H_{\tau}} \|(\omega, \varsigma)\|_{H_{\tau}}$. Denote by

$$\mathcal{D} = \Big\{ B = \{ B(\tau) \subseteq H_{\tau} : \tau \in \mathbb{R} \} : B \text{ satisfies (34)} \Big\}.$$

We will prove that the system (18) has a unique weak \mathcal{D} -pullback mean random attractor. Therefore we further suppose that for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(\|f_1(s)\|^2 + \|f_2(s)\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k(s)\|^2) ds < \infty, \tag{35}$$

where $\mu > 0$ is the constant as in (33).

Lemma 3.1. Assume (3), (17), (32) and (35) hold. Then for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B = \{B(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists $T = T(\tau, B) > \rho$ such that for all $t \geq T$,

$$\mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u(\tau;\tau-t,u_{0},\phi)\|^{2}+b_{1}\|v(\tau;\tau-t,v_{0},\xi)\|^{2})$$

$$+\int_{-\rho}^{0}\mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u_{\tau}(s;\tau-t,u_{0},\phi)\|^{2}+b_{1}\|v_{\tau}(s;\tau-t,v_{0},\xi)\|^{2})ds$$

$$\leq (1+\rho e^{\mu\rho})\left[1+(b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}+b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2})\frac{4\|\delta\|^{2}}{\mu}\right]$$

$$+c\int_{-\infty}^{\tau}e^{\mu(s-\tau)}\mathbb{E}(\|f_{1}(s)\|^{2}+\|f_{2}(s)\|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\|h_{k}(s)\|^{2})ds\right], \quad (36)$$

where c is a positive constant which is independent of ϵ_1 , ϵ_2 , t, τ , u_0 , v_0 , ϕ , ξ .

Proof. For all t > 0 and $r \in (\tau - t, \tau]$, by (24)-(25) we have

$$e^{\mu r} \mathbb{E}(b_{2} \| u(r) \|^{2} + b_{1} \| v(r) \|^{2})$$

$$= \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}\left[-2b_{2}d_{1}\langle A_{p_{1}}u(s), u(s)\rangle - 2b_{1}d_{2}\langle A_{p_{2}}v(s), v(s)\rangle - 2a_{1}b_{2} \| u(s) \|^{2} - 2a_{2}b_{1} \| v(s) \|^{2} - 2b_{2}^{2}\langle G(u(s)), u(s)\rangle + 2b_{1}b_{2}\langle F(u(s), v(s)), u(s)\rangle + 2b_{2}b_{1}\langle G(u(s)), v(s)\rangle - 2b_{1}^{2}\langle F(u(s), v(s)), v(s)\rangle\right] ds$$

$$+ 2b_{2}\int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}\langle f_{1}(s), u(s)\rangle ds + 2b_{1}\int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}\langle f_{2}(s), v(s)\rangle ds$$

$$+ b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s) + \sigma_{k}(u(s-\rho))\|^{2}) ds$$

$$+ b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s) + \sigma_{k}(v(s-\rho))\|^{2}) ds$$

$$+ e^{\mu(\tau-t)} \mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u_{0}\|^{2} + b_{1}\|v_{0}\|^{2}) + \mu \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u(s)\|^{2} + b_{1}\|v(s)\|^{2}) ds. \tag{37}$$

By (6), (8) and (37), we know that

$$\int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}\left[-2a_{1}b_{2}\|u(s)\|^{2} - 2a_{2}b_{1}\|v(s)\|^{2} - 2b_{2}^{2}\langle G(u(s)), u(s)\rangle + 2b_{1}b_{2}\langle F(u(s), v(s)), u(s)\rangle + 2b_{2}b_{1}\langle G(u(s)), v(s)\rangle - 2b_{1}^{2}\langle F(u(s), v(s)), v(s)\rangle\right] ds$$

$$\leq -2\lambda \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u(s)\|^{2} + b_{1}\|v(s)\|^{2}) ds, \tag{38}$$

where $\lambda = (a_1 \wedge a_2) > 0$.

By (37), we have

$$2b_{2} \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}\langle f_{1}(s), u(s) \rangle ds$$

$$\leq 2b_{2} \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(\|f_{1}(s)\| \|u(s)\|) ds$$

$$\leq \eta \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(b_{2} \|u(s)\|^{2}) ds + \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{\tau-t}^{r} b_{2} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(\|f_{1}(s)\|^{2}) ds, \tag{39}$$

and

$$2b_{1} \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}\langle f_{2}(s), v(s) \rangle ds$$

$$\leq \eta \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(b_{1} || v(s) ||^{2}) ds + \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{\tau-t}^{r} b_{1} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(|| f_{2}(s) ||^{2}) ds. \tag{40}$$

By (15) and (37), we obtain

$$b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\tau-t}^{r}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s)+\sigma_{k}(u(s-\rho))\|^{2})ds$$

$$\leq 2b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\tau-t}^{r}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s)\|^{2})ds+4b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\int_{\tau-t}^{r}e^{\mu s}\|\delta\|^{2}ds+4b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2}\int_{\tau-t}^{r}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|u(s-\rho)\|^{2})ds$$

$$\leq 2b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\tau-t}^{r}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s)\|^{2})ds+\frac{4b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\delta\|^{2}}{\mu}(e^{\mu r}-e^{\mu(\tau-t)})+4b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2}e^{\mu \rho}\int_{\tau-t-\rho}^{r-\rho}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^{2})ds$$

$$\leq 2b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\tau-t}^{r}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s)\|^{2})ds+\frac{4b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\delta\|^{2}}{\mu}(e^{\mu r}-e^{\mu(\tau-t)})$$

$$+4b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2}e^{\mu \rho}\int_{\tau-t}^{r}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^{2})ds+4b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2}e^{\mu \rho}\int_{\tau-t-\rho}^{\tau-t}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^{2})ds$$

$$=2b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\tau-t}^{r}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s)\|^{2})ds+\frac{4b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\delta\|^{2}}{\mu}(e^{\mu r}-e^{\mu(\tau-t)})$$

$$+4\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2}e^{\mu \rho}\int_{\tau-t}^{r}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u(s)\|^{2})ds+4b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2}e^{\mu \rho}e^{\mu(\tau-t)}\int_{-\rho}^{0}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|\phi(s)\|^{2})ds, \tag{41}$$

and

$$b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s) + \sigma_{k}(v(s-\rho))\|^{2}) ds$$

$$\leq 2b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s)\|^{2}) ds + \frac{4b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2} \|\delta\|^{2}}{\mu} (e^{\mu r} - e^{\mu(\tau-t)})$$

$$+ 4\epsilon_{2}^{2} \|\alpha\|^{2} e^{\mu \rho} \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(b_{1} \|v(s)\|^{2}) ds + 4b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2} \|\alpha\|^{2} e^{\mu \rho} e^{\mu(\tau-t)} \int_{-\rho}^{0} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(\|\xi(s)\|^{2}) ds. \tag{42}$$

From (37) to (42), we have

$$e^{\mu r} \mathbb{E}(b_{2} \| u(r) \|^{2} + b_{1} \| v(r) \|^{2}) \leq e^{\mu(\tau - t)} \mathbb{E}(b_{2} \| u_{0} \|^{2} + b_{1} \| v_{0} \|^{2})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{\tau - t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(b_{2} \| f_{1}(s) \|^{2} + b_{1} \| f_{2}(s) \|^{2}) ds + (2b_{2} \varepsilon_{1}^{2} + 2b_{1} \varepsilon_{2}^{2}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau - t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(\| h_{k}(s) \|^{2}) ds$$

$$+ (b_{2} \varepsilon_{1}^{2} + b_{1} \varepsilon_{2}^{2}) \frac{4 \| \delta \|^{2}}{\mu} (e^{\mu r} - e^{\mu(\tau - t)}) + 4b_{2} \varepsilon_{1}^{2} \| \alpha \|^{2} e^{\mu \rho} e^{\mu(\tau - t)} \int_{-\rho}^{0} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(\| \phi(s) \|^{2}) ds$$

$$+ 4b_{1} \varepsilon_{2}^{2} \| \alpha \|^{2} e^{\mu \rho} e^{\mu(\tau - t)} \int_{-\rho}^{0} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(\| \xi(s) \|^{2}) ds$$

$$+ (\eta + \mu - 2\lambda + 4 \| \alpha \|^{2} (\varepsilon_{1}^{2} + \varepsilon_{2}^{2}) e^{\mu \rho}) \int_{\tau - t}^{r} e^{\mu s} \mathbb{E}(b_{2} \| u(s) \|^{2} + b_{1} \| v(s) \|^{2}) ds. \tag{43}$$

By (33) and (43), for any $r \in (\tau - t, \tau]$, we get

$$\begin{split} &e^{\mu r}\mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u(r)\|^{2}+b_{1}\|v(r)\|^{2})\leq e^{\mu(\tau-t)}\mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u_{0}\|^{2}+b_{1}\|v_{0}\|^{2})\\ &+\frac{1}{\eta}\int_{\tau-t}^{r}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|f_{1}(s)\|^{2}+b_{1}\|f_{2}(s)\|^{2})ds+(2b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}+2b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2})\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\tau-t}^{r}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s)\|^{2})ds\\ &+(b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}+b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2})\frac{4\|\delta\|^{2}}{\mu}(e^{\mu r}-e^{\mu(\tau-t)})+4b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2}e^{\mu\rho}e^{\mu(\tau-t)}\int_{-\rho}^{0}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|\phi(s)\|^{2})ds\\ &+4b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2}e^{\mu\rho}e^{\mu(\tau-t)}\int_{-\rho}^{0}e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|\xi(s)\|^{2})ds, \end{split}$$

which implies that for all $r \in (\tau - t, \tau]$,

$$\mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u(r;\tau-t,u_{0},\phi)\|^{2} + b_{1}\|v(r;\tau-t,v_{0},\xi)\|^{2}) \\
\leq e^{\mu(\tau-t-r)}\mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u_{0}\|^{2} + b_{1}\|v_{0}\|^{2}) \\
+ \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu(s-r)}\mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|f_{1}(s)\|^{2} + b_{1}\|f_{2}(s)\|^{2})ds \\
+ (2b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2} + 2b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau-t}^{r} e^{\mu(s-r)}\mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s)\|^{2})ds \\
+ (b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2} + b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}) \frac{4\|\delta\|^{2}}{\mu} + 4b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2}e^{\mu\rho}e^{\mu(\tau-t-r)} \int_{-\rho}^{0} e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|\phi(s)\|^{2})ds \\
+ 4b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2}e^{\mu\rho}e^{\mu(\tau-t-r)} \int_{-\rho}^{0} e^{\mu s}\mathbb{E}(\|\xi(s)\|^{2})ds. \tag{44}$$

Form (44), we find

$$\mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u(\tau;\tau-t,u_{0},\phi)\|^{2} + b_{1}\|v(\tau;\tau-t,v_{0},\xi)\|^{2})
\leq e^{-\mu t}\mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u_{0}\|^{2} + b_{1}\|v_{0}\|^{2})
+ \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\mu(s-\tau)}\mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|f_{1}(s)\|^{2} + b_{1}\|f_{2}(s)\|^{2})ds
+ (2b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2} + 2b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\mu(s-\tau)}\mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s)\|^{2})ds
+ (b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2} + b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}) \frac{4\|\delta\|^{2}}{\mu} + 4b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2}e^{\mu\rho}e^{-\mu t} \int_{-\rho}^{0} \mathbb{E}(\|\phi(s)\|^{2})ds
+ 4b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2}e^{\mu\rho}e^{-\mu t} \int_{-\rho}^{0}\mathbb{E}(\|\xi(s)\|^{2})ds,$$
(45)

and we have, for $t \ge \rho$,

$$\sup_{\tau-\rho \leq r \leq \tau} \mathbb{E}(b_{2} \| u(r; \tau - t, u_{0}, \phi) \|^{2} + b_{1} \| v(r; \tau - t, v_{0}, \xi) \|^{2})$$

$$\leq e^{\mu(\rho - t)} \mathbb{E}(b_{2} \| u_{0} \|^{2} + b_{1} \| v_{0} \|^{2})$$

$$+ \frac{e^{\mu\rho}}{\eta} \int_{\tau - t}^{\tau} e^{\mu(s - \tau)} \mathbb{E}(b_{2} \| f_{1}(s) \|^{2} + b_{1} \| f_{2}(s) \|^{2}) ds$$

$$+ (2b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2} + 2b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}) e^{\mu\rho} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau - t}^{\tau} e^{\mu(s - \tau)} \mathbb{E}(\| h_{k}(s) \|^{2}) ds$$

$$+ (b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2} + b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}) \frac{4\|\delta\|^{2}}{\mu} + 4b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2} \|\alpha\|^{2} e^{\mu(2\rho - t)} \int_{-\rho}^{0} \mathbb{E}(\|\phi(s)\|^{2}) ds$$

$$+ 4b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2} \|\alpha\|^{2} e^{\mu(2\rho - t)} \int_{-\rho}^{0} \mathbb{E}(\|\xi(s)\|^{2}) ds. \tag{46}$$

By (45)-(46), we get that for $t \ge \rho$,

$$\mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u(\tau;\tau-t,u_{0},\phi)\|^{2} + b_{1}\|v(\tau;\tau-t,v_{0},\xi)\|^{2})
+ \int_{\tau-\rho}^{\tau} \mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u(s;\tau-t,u_{0},\phi)\|^{2} + b_{1}\|v(s;\tau-t,v_{0},\xi)\|^{2}) ds
\leq (1+\rho e^{\mu\rho}) \left[e^{-\mu t} \mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u_{0}\|^{2} + b_{1}\|v_{0}\|^{2})
+ \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\mu(s-\tau)} \mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|f_{1}(s)\|^{2} + b_{1}\|f_{2}(s)\|^{2}) ds
+ (2b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2} + 2b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\mu(s-\tau)} \mathbb{E}(\|h_{k}(s)\|^{2}) ds + (b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2} + b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}) \frac{4\|\delta\|^{2}}{\mu}
+ 4b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2} e^{\mu(\rho-t)} \int_{-\rho}^{0} \mathbb{E}(\|\phi(s)\|^{2}) ds + 4b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}\|\alpha\|^{2} e^{\mu(\rho-t)} \int_{-\rho}^{0} \mathbb{E}(\|\xi(s)\|^{2}) ds \right].$$
(47)

For the first term and the last two terms on the right-hand side of (47), by (u_0, ϕ) , $(v_0, \xi) \in B(\tau - t)$ we have

$$e^{-\mu t} \mathbb{E}(b_{2} \| u_{0} \|^{2} + b_{1} \| v_{0} \|^{2}) + 4b_{2} \epsilon_{1}^{2} \| \alpha \|^{2} e^{\mu(\rho - t)} \int_{-\rho}^{0} \mathbb{E}(\| \phi(s) \|^{2}) ds$$

$$+ 4b_{1} \epsilon_{2}^{2} \| \alpha \|^{2} e^{\mu(\rho - t)} \int_{-\rho}^{0} \mathbb{E}(\| \xi(s) \|^{2}) ds$$

$$\leq \left(\max\{b_{1}, b_{2}\} e^{-\mu \tau} + (b_{2} \epsilon_{1}^{2} + b_{1} \epsilon_{2}^{2}) 4 \| \alpha \|^{2} e^{\mu(\rho - \tau)} \right) e^{\mu(\tau - t)} \| B(\tau - t) \|_{H_{\tau - t}}^{2} \to 0, \tag{48}$$

as $t \to \infty$. Therefore, there exist $T = T(\tau, B) \ge \rho$ and a positive number c such that for all $t \ge T$,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u(\tau;\tau-t,u_{0},\phi)\|^{2} + b_{1}\|v(\tau;\tau-t,v_{0},\xi)\|^{2}) \\ + \int_{\tau-\rho}^{\tau} \mathbb{E}(b_{2}\|u(s;\tau-t,u_{0},\phi)\|^{2} + b_{1}\|v(s;\tau-t,v_{0},\xi)\|^{2}) ds \\ \leq (1+\rho e^{\mu\rho}) \Big[1 + (b_{2}\epsilon_{1}^{2} + b_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2}) \frac{4\|\delta\|^{2}}{\mu} \\ + c \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\mu(s-\tau)} \mathbb{E}(\|f_{1}(s)\|^{2} + \|f_{2}(s)\|^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_{k}(s)\|^{2}) ds \Big], \end{split}$$

which completes the proof.

Next, we show the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2. Assume (3), (17), (32) and (35) hold. Then the mean random dynamical system Φ related to (18) has a unique weak \mathcal{D} -pullback mean random attractor $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; \ell^2 \times \ell^2) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; L^2((-\rho, 0), \ell^2 \times \ell^2))$ over $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{P})$; this is, (i) $\mathcal{A}(\ll)$ is a weakly compact subset of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau; \ell^2 \times \ell^2) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau; L^2((-\rho, 0), \ell^2 \times \ell^2))$ for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. (ii) \mathcal{A} is a weakly \mathcal{D} -pullback attracting set of Φ . (iii) \mathcal{A} is the minimal element of \mathcal{D} with properties (i) and (ii); this is, if $K = \{K(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$ satisfies (i) and (ii), then $\mathcal{A}(\tau) \subseteq K(\tau)$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. For every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, let us define

$$M(\tau) = \left\{ (\omega, \varsigma) \in H_{\tau} : \|(\omega, \varsigma)\|_{H_{\tau}}^{2} \le R(\tau) \right\},\,$$

where

$$R(\tau) = (1 + \rho e^{\mu \rho}) \left[1 + (b_2 \epsilon_1^2 + b_1 \epsilon_2^2) \frac{4 \|\delta\|^2}{\mu} + c \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\mu(s-\tau)} \mathbb{E}(\|f_1(s)\|^2 + \|f_2(s)\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k(s)\|^2) ds \right],$$

where $\mu > 0$ is the same number as in (33). Because $M(\tau)$ is a bounded closed convex subset of H_{τ} , it is weakly compact in H_{τ} .

Furthermore, by (35) we obtain

$$\lim_{\tau \to -\infty} e^{\mu \tau} \|M(\tau)\|_{H_{\tau}}^2 = \lim_{\tau \to -\infty} e^{\mu \tau} R(\tau) = 0,$$

consequently, $M = \{M(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$.

In addition, by Lemma 3.1, we find that for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B = \{B(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists $T = T(\tau, B) \ge \rho$ such that for any $t \ge T$,

$$\Phi(t, \tau - t)(B(\tau - t)) \subseteq M(\tau).$$

Therefore, M is a weakly compact \mathcal{D} -pullback absorbing set of Φ . Then by [34, Theorem 2.13], Φ has a unique weak \mathcal{D} -pullback mean random attractor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{D}$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; \ell^2 \times \ell^2) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; L^2((-\rho, 0), \ell^2 \times \ell^2))$.

4 Declarations

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval

Not applicable.

Author's Contributions

The author reads and approved the final manuscript, writing—original draft preparation, Yan Wang; writing—review and editing, Yan Wang.

Weak Pullback Mean Attractor Yan Wang et al. | 15

Availability Data and Materials

Not applicable.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the associate editor and referees for their valuable time and provide important suggestions for the manuscript. The authors are grateful for the support of Guizhou Normal University.

References

- [1] S.N. Chow, J. Mallet-Paret and W. Shen, *Traveling waves in lattice dynamical systems*, J. Differ. Equ. **149** (1998), no. 2, pp. 248-291, DOI: 10.1006/jdeq.1998.3478.
- [2] J.P. Keener, *Propagation and its failure in coupled systems of discrete excitable cells*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **47** (1987), no. 3, pp. 556-572, DOI: 10.1137/0147038.
- [3] T. Caraballo, F. Morillas and J. Valero, *On differential equations with delay in Banach spaces and attractors for retarded lattice dynamical systems*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **34** (2014), no. 1, pp. 51-77, DOI: 10.3934/dcds.2014.34.51.
- [4] E. Van Vleck and B. Wang, Attractors for lattice FitzHugh-Nagumo systems, Phys. D: Nonlinear Phenom. 212 (2005), no. 3-4, pp. 317-336, DOI: 10.1016/j.physd.2005.10.006.
- [5] T. Caraballo, K. Lu, *Attractors for stochastic lattice dynamical systems with a multiplicative noise*, Front. Math. China **3** (2008), no. 3, pp. 317-335, DOI: 10.1007/s11464-008-0028-7.
- [6] T. Caraballo, F. Morillas and J. Valero, *Pullback attractors of stochastic lattice dynamical systems with a multiplicative noise and non-Lipschitz nonlinearity*, J. Differ. Equ. **253** (2012), no. 2, pp. 667-693, DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2012.03.020.
- [7] B. Wang, Dynamics of stochastic reaction-diffusion lattice systems driven by nonlinear noise, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 477 (2019), no. 1, pp. 104-132, DOI:10.1016/j.jmaa.2019.04.015.
- [8] Z. Chen and B. Wang, Weak mean attractors and invariant measures for stochastic Schrödinger delay lattice systems, J. Dyn. Differ. Equ. (2021), pp. 1-40, DOI: 10.1007/s10884-021-10085-3.
- [9] Z. Chen, D. Yang, S. Zhong, Limiting Dynamics for Stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo Lattice Systems in weighted spaces, J. Dyn. Differ. Equ. (2022), DOI: 10.1007/s10884-022-10145-2.
- [10] R. Wang and B. Wang, Random dynamics of p-Laplacian lattice systems driven by infinite-dimensional nonlinear noise, Stoch. Process. Their Appl **130** (2020), no. 12, pp. 7431-7462, DOI:10.1016/j.spa.2020.08.002.
- [11] J.C. Artes, J. Llibre and C. Valls, *Dynamics of the Higgins-Selkov and Selkov systems*, Chaos Solit. Fractals. **114** (2018), pp. 145-150, DOI: 10.1016/j.chaos.2018.07.007.
- [12] S. Dhatt and P. Chaudhury, *Study of oscillatory dynamics in a Selkov glycolytic model using sensitivity analysis*, Indian J. Phys. **96** (2022), no. 6, pp. 1649-1654, DOI: 10.1007/s12648-021-02102-4.
- [13] A. Goldbeter and J. Keizer, *Biochemical Oscillations and Cellular Rhythms: The Molecular Bases of Periodic and Chaotic Behaviour*, Phys. Today 1 March **51** (1998), no. 3, pp. 86-86, DOI: 10.1063/1.882194.
- [14] E.E. Selkov, Self-oscillations in glycolysis, Eur. J. Biochem 4 (1968), no. 1, pp. 79-86, DOI: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1968.tb00175.x.
- [15] J. Llibre, A. Nabavi, *Phase portraits of the Selkov model in the Poincare disc*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. -B **27** (2022), no. 12, pp. 7607-7623, DOI: 10.3934/dcdsb.2022056.
- [16] Y. Wang, C. Gun and R. Wang, Limiting behavior of invariant or periodic measures of lattice Peversible selkov systems driven by locally lipschitz noise, (2023), submitted.
- [17] H. Li, Attractors for the Stochastic Lattice Selkov Equations with Additive Noises, J. Appl. Math. and Physics 7 (2019), no. 6, pp. 1329-1339, DOI: 10.4236/jamp.2019.76090.
- [18] H. Li, Random Attractor of the Stochastic Lattice Reversible Selkov Equations with Additive Noises, IEEE International Conference on E-business Engineering, (2016), pp. 176-181, DOI: 10.1109/ICEBE.2016.038.
- [19] Y. You, Asymptotical dynamics of Selkov equations, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. -S 2 (2009), no. 1, pp. 193-219, DOI: 10.3934/dcdss.2009.2.193.
- [20] C. Guo, Y. Guo, X. Li, Upper Semicontinuity of Random Attractors for Nonautonomous Stochastic Reversible Selkov System with Multiplicative Noise, Adv. Math. Phys. 4 (2019), pp. 1-15, DOI: 10.1155/2019/2763245.
- [21] H. Crauel and F. Flandoli, *Attractors for random dynamical systems*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **100** (1994), no. 3, pp. 365-393, DOI: 10.1007/BF01193705.
- [22] F. Flandoli and B. Schmalfuss, *Random attractors for the 3D stochastic Navier-Stokes equation with multiplicative white noise*, Stoch. stoch. rep. **59** (1996), no. 1, pp. 21-45, DOI: 10.1080/17442509608834083.

- [23] Z. Brzezniak, T. Caraballo, J.A. Langa, Y. Li, G. Lukaszewicz and J. Real, *Random attractors for stochastic 2D-Navier-Stokes equations in some unbounded domains*, J. Differ. Equ. **255** (2013), no. 11, pp. 3897-3919, DOI: DOI:10.1016/j.jde.2013.07.043.
- [24] T. Caraballo, M.J. Garrido-Atienza, B. Schmalfuss and J. Valero, *Nonautonomous and random attractors for delay random semilinear equations without uniqueness*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. S **21** (2008), no. 2, pp. 415-443.
- [25] T. Caraballo, J. Real and I.D. Chueshov, *Pullback attractors for stochastic heat equations in materials with memory*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. B **9** (2008), no. 3, pp. 525-539, DOI: 10.3934/dcdsb.2008.9.525.
- [26] T. Caraballo and J.A. Langa, *On the upper semicontinuity of cocycle attractors for non-autonomous and random dynamical systems*, Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems Series A: Mathematical Analysis **10** (2003), no. 4, pp. 491-513, DOI: 10.1016/S0166-218X(03)00183-5.
- [27] T. Caraballo, M.J. Garrido-Atienza, B. Schmalfuss and J. Valero, *Asymptotic behaviour of a stochastic semilinear dissipative functional equation without uniqueness of solutions*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. B **14** (2010), no. 2, pp. 439-455, DOI: 10.3934/dcdsb.2010.14.439.
- [28] T. Caraballo, M.J. Garrido-Atienza and T.Taniguchi, *The existence and exponential behavior of solutions to stochastic delay evolution equations with a fractional Brownian motion*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2011), no. 11, pp. 3671-3684, DOI: 10.1016/j.na.2011.02.047.
- [29] T. Caraballo, J.A. Langa, V.S. Melnik and J. Valero, *Pullback attractors of nonautonomous and stochastic mtltivalued dynamical systems*, Set-Valued Analysis 11 (2003), no. 2, pp. 153-201, DOI: 10.1023/A:1022902802385.
- [30] T. Caraballo, P.E. Kloeden and B. Schmalfuss, Exponentially stable stationary solutions for stochastic evo-lution equations and their perturbation, Appl. Math. Optim. 50 (2004), no. 3, pp. 183-207, DOI:10.1007/s00245-004-0802-1.
- [31] T. Caraballo, B. Guo, N.H. Tuan and R. Wang, Asymptotically autonomous robustness of random attractors for a class of weakly dissipative stochastic wave equations on unbounded domains, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A: Math. **151** (2021), no. 6, pp. 1-31, DOI: 10.1017/prm.2020.77.
- [32] A. Gu, D. Li, B. Wang and H. Yang, Regularity of random attractors for fractional stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on \mathbb{R}^N , J. Differ. Equ. **264** (2018), no. 12, pp. 7094-7137, DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2018.02.011.
- [33] Y. Li, A. Gu and J. Li, Existence and continuity of bi-spatial random attractors and application to stochasticsemilinear Laplacian equations, J. Differ. Equ. 258 (2015), no. 2, pp. 504-534, DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2014.09.021.
- [34] B. Wang, Weak pullback attractors for mean random dynamical systems in Bochner spaces, J. Dyn. Differ. Equ. 31 (2019), no. 4, pp. 2177-2204, DOI:10.1007/s10884-018-9696-5.
- [35] B. Wang, Asymptotic behavior of stochastic wave equations with critical exponents on R³, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. B **363** (2011), no. 7, pp. 3639-3663, DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9947-2011-05247-5.
- [36] B. Wang, Weak pullback attractors for stochastic Navier-Stokes equations with nonlinear diffusion terms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2019), no. 4, pp. 1627-1638, DOI: 10.1090/proc/14356.
- [37] B. Wang, Random attractors for the stochastic Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equationon unbounded domains, J. Differ. Equ. **246** (2009), no. 6, pp. 2506-2537, DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2008.10.012
- [38] B. Wang, Dynamics of fractional stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on unbounded domains driven by nonlinear noise, J. Differ. Equ. **268** (2019), no. 1, pp. 1-59, DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2019.08.007.
- [39] R. Wang, B. Guo and B. Wang, Well-posedness and dynamics of fractional Fitz Hugh-Nagumo systems on \mathbb{R}^N driven by nonlinear noise, Sci. China Math. **64** (2020), no. 11, pp. 1-42, DOI: 10.1007/s11425-019-1714-2.
- [40] R. Wang, L. Shi and B. Wang, Asymptotic behavior of fractional nonclassical diffusion equations driven by nonlinear colored noise on \mathbb{R}^N , Nonlinearity **32** (2019),no. 11, pp. 4524-4556, DOI: 10.1088/1361-6544/ab32d7.
- [41] R. Wang, B. Guo, W. Liu and D.T. Nguyen, Fractal dimension of random invariant sets and regular random attractors for stochastic hydrodynamical equations, Math. Ann. (2023), DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-023-02661-3.
- [42] R. Wang, K. Kinra and M.T. Mohan, Asymptotically autonomous robustness in probability of random attractors for stochastic Navier-Stokes equations on unbounded Poincar'e domains, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 55 (2023), no. 4, pp. 2644-2676, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2208.06808.
- [43] J. Xu, T. Caraballo and J. Valero, Asymptotic behavior of a semilinear problem in heat conduction with long time memory and non-local diffusion, J. Differ. Equ. 327 (2022), pp. 418-447, DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2022.04.033.
- [44] J. Xu, Z. Zhang and T. Caraballo, Mild Solutions to Time Fractional Stochastic 2D-Stokes Equations with Bounded and Unbounded Delay, J. Dyn. Differ. Equ. 34 (2022), no. 1, pp. 583-603, DOI: 10.1007/s10884-019-09809-3.
- [45] C. Zhao, Y. Li and T. Caraballo, *Trajectory statistical solutions and Liouville type equations for evolution equations: Abstract results and applications*, J. Differ. Equ. **269** (2020), no. 1, pp. 467-494, DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2019.12.011.
- [46] C. Zhao and S. Zhou. Pullback trajectory attractors for evolution equations and application to 3D incompressible non-Newtonian fluid, Nonlinearity 21 (2008), no. 8, pp. 1691-1718, DOI: 10.1088/0951-7715/21/8/002.
- [47] C. Zhao and W. Sun, Global well-posedness and pullback attractors for a two dimensional non-autonomous micropolar fluid flows with ininite delays, Commun. Math. Sci. 15 (2017), no. 1, pp. 97-121, DOI: 10.4310/CMS.2017.v15.n1.a5.
- [48] C. Zhao, G. Liu and R. An, Global well-posedness and pullback attractors for an incompressible non-Newtonian fluid

Weak Pullback Mean Attractor Yan Wang et al. | 17

- flows with infinite delays, Differ. Equations Dyn. Syst. 25 (2017), no. 1, 39-64, DOI: 10.1007/s12591-014-0231-9.
- [49] C. Zhao and L. Yang, *Pullback attractor and invariant measures for three dimensional globally modified Navier-Stokes equations*, Commun. Math. Sci. **15** (2017), no. 6, pp. 1565-1580, DOI: 10.4310/CMS.2017.v15.n6.a4.
- [50] Z. Zhu and C. Zhao, *Pullback attractors and invariant measures for three dimensional regularized MHD equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **38** (2018), no. 3, pp. 1461-1477, DOI: 10.3934/dcds.2018060.
- [51] Z. Zhu, Y. Sang and C. Zhao, *Pullback attractor and invariant measures for the discrete Zakharov equations*, J. Appl. Anal. Comput. **9**(2019), no. 6, pp. 2333-2357, DOI:10.11948/20190091.
- [52] X. Wang, K. Lu and B. Wang, Exponential Stability of Non-Autonomous Stochastic Delay Lattice Systems with Multiplicative Noise, J. Dyn. Differ. Equ. 28 (2016), no. 3-4, pp. 1309-1335, DOI: 10.1007/s10884-015-9448-8.
- [53] W.P. Yan, Y. Li and S.G. Ji, Random attractors for first order stochastic retarded lattice dynamical systems, J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010), no. 3, 032702-032702-17, DOI: 10.1063/1.3319566.
- [54] C. Zhao, G. Xue, and G. Lukaszewicz, *Pullback attractors and invariant measures for discrete Klein-Gordon-Schrodinger equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. -B 23 (2018), no. 9, pp. 4021-4044, DOI: 10.3934/dcdsb.2018122.
- [55] J. Bell and C. Cosner, Threshold behaviour and propagation for nonlinear differential-difference systems motivated by modeling myelinated axons, Q. Appl. Math. 42 (1984), no. 1, pp. 1-14, DOI: 10.1090/qam/73650421.
- [56] L.O. Chua and T. Roska, The CNN paradigm, IEEE Trans Circuits Syst I. 40 (1993), no. 3, pp. 147-156
- [57] T.L. Carrol and L.M. Pecora, Synchronization in chaotic systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990), no. 8, pp. 821-824, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.821.
- [58] B. Wang, *Dynamics of systems on infinite lattices*, J. Differ. Equ. **221** (2006), no. 1, a pp. 224-245, DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2005.01.003.
- [59] H. Crauel, A. Debussche and F. Flandoli, Random attractors, J. Dyn. Differ. Equations 9 (1997) pp. 307-341, DOI: 10.1007/BF02219225.
- [60] P.E. Kloeden and T. Lorenz, *Mean-square random dynamical systems*, J. Differ. Equ. **253** (2012), no. 5, pp. 1422-1438, DOI:10.1016/j.jde.2012.05.016.
- [61] A. Gu and Y. Li, Sufficient Criteria for Existence of Pullback Attractors for Stochastic Lattice Dynamical Systems with Deterministic Non-autonomous Terms, Eprint Arxiv (2014), DOI:10.48550/arXiv.1404.0488.
- [62] R. Wang, Y. Li and B. Wang, *Random dynamics of fractional nonclassical diffusion equations driven by colored noise*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **39** (2019), no. 7, pp. 4091-4126, DOI: 10.3934/dcds.2019165.
- [63] C. Zhao and S. Zhou, Attractors of retarded first order lattice systems, Nonlinearity 20 (2007)no. 8, pp. 1987-2006, DOI: 10.1088/0951-7715/20/8/010.
- [64] S. Zhou, Random exponential attractor for cocycle and application to non-autonomous stochastic lattice systems with multiplicative white noise, J. Differ. Equ. **263** (2017), no. 4, pp. 2247-2279, DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2017.03.044.
- [65] B. Wang, R. Wang, Asymptotic behavior of stochastic Schrodinger lattice systems driven by nonlinear noise, Stochastic Anal. Appl. **38** (2019), no. 5, pp. 1-25.
- [66] R. Wang, Long-Time Dynamics of Stochastic Lattice Plate Equations with Nonlinear Noise and Damping, J. Dyn. Differ. Equ. **33** (2021), pp. 767-803, DOI:10.1007/s10884-020-09830-x.
- [67] R. Wang and B. Wang, *Random dynamics of lattice wave equations driven by infinite-dimensional nonlinear noise*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.-B **25** (2020), no. 7, pp. 2461-2493, DOI: 10.3934/dcdsb.2020019.
- [68] X. Wang and S. Zhou, Random attractors for non-autonous stochastic lattice FitzHugh-Nagumo systems with random coupled coefficient, Taiwan. J. Math. **20** (2016), no. 3, pp. 589-616, DOI:10.11650/tjm.20.2016.6699.
- [69] R. Wang and N.H. Tuan, Multi-parameter stability of evolution systems of probability measures for highly nonlinear p-Laplacian It \hat{o} Schrödinger equations on \mathbb{Z}^d with delay, 2023, submitted.
- [70] R. Wang and B. Wang, Random dynamics of p-Laplacian lattice systems driven by infinite-dimensional nonlinear noise, Stochastic Processes Appl. **130** (2020), no. 12, pp. 7431-7462, DOI: 10.1016/j.spa.2020.08.002.